In the matter of Alfred Chartz, Esq., effect of decisions and the law appli for Contempt DECISION

show cause why he should not be false charges or vilification. adjudged guilty of contempt for hav- He ma, July present, discuss and statement:

not know what they wrote about."

ious; disavowed any intention to com- and protect. a to serie in from the neution.

cognizance of, attributing its was to

technic imigination of cunsel." Also, the case and its condition at this petition was filed, had been States in the cases of State v. Holden. 14 Utah 71 and 86, 46 P. 757 and 1105. 37 L. R. A. 103 and 108; Holden v Hardy 169 U. S. 366, 18 Sup. Ct. 383; Short v. Mining Company, 20 Ctah, 20, 57 P. 720, 45 L. P. A., 603, and by the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri re Cantwell, 179 Mo. 245, 78 S. W. 569. It may not be out of place here, also to note that the latter case has since been affirmed by the S preme Court of the United States, and more recently the latter tribunal, adhering to its opinion therein and in the Utah cases, has refused to interfere with the decisions of this Co. in re Kair.

It would seem therefore, a natural and proper, if not a necessary deduction from the language in question. when taken in connection with the law of the cases as enunciated by this and other courts, that counsel. finding that the opinion of the highest court in the land was adverse instead of favorable to his contentions, in that it specifically affirmed the Utah decision in Holden vs. Hardy, which sustained the statute from which ours is copied, and that all the courts named were adverse to the views he advocated, had rescried to abuse of the Justices of this and other courts, and to imputations of their motives.

The language quoted is tantamount to the charge that this tribunal and the Supreme Courts of Utah, Missouri and of the United States and the Justices thereof who participated in the opinions upholding statutes limiting the hours of labor in mines, smelters and other ore reduction works, were misguided by ignorance or base poli-1.cal considerations.

Taking the most charitable view, if counsel became so imbued and misgulded by his own ideas and conclusions that he honestly and eroneously conceived that we were controlled by ignorance or sinister motives instead of by law and justice in determining constitutional or other questions, and that these other courts and judges and the members of the legislature and Governor were guilty of the accusation he made occause they and we failed to follow the theories he advocated, and that his opinions ought to outweigh and turn the scale against the decisions of the four courts named including the highest in the land with nineteen justices concurring. nevertheless it was entirely inappropriate to make the statement in brief, courtesy and respectful treatment is If he really believed or knew of facts to sustain the charge he made he ought to have been aware that the exercise of the power to punish for purpose of such a document is to en. | contempt. lighten the court in regard to the controlling facts and the law, and abuse and vilify, and that this court or determine charges impeaching its pointment, therefore, is great, and it Justices. On the other hand if he is not in human nature that there did not believe the accusation and should be other than bitter feeling timidate or swerve from duty the the cause of the supposed wrong. guade is unwarranted and contemp- such an outbreak. So an attorney tious. The cuty of an attorney in cometimes, thinking it a mark of in- derly and violent, who respect neither

court in ascertaining the truth per taining to the pertinent facts, the rea caule in the case, and he far overstep: the bounds of professional conduc-Respondent was commanded to when he reports to misrepresentation

ing, as an attorney of record in the argue the evidence and the law and matter of the application of Peter Kair freely indicate wherein he beneves for a Writ of Habeas Corpus filed in that decisions and rulings are wrong or this court a petition for rehearing in erroneous, but this he may do withwhich he made use of the following out effectually making bald accusations against the motives and intelli-"In my opinion, the decisions favor- gence of the court, or being discouring the power of the State to limit the teous or resorting to abuse which is hours of labor, on the ground of the not argument nor convincing to reapolice power of the State, are all soning minds. If respondent has no wrong, and written by men who have respect for the justices, he ought to never performed manual labor, or by bave enough regard for his position politicians and for politics. They to at the bar to refrain from attacting the tribunal of which he is a mem-Respondent apeared in response to ber, and which the people, through the citation, filed a brief and made an the Constitution and by general conextended address to the Court in sent have made the final interpreter which he took the position that the of the laws which ne. as an officer words in question were not contempt- of the court, has sworn to uphold

mit a contempt of court; and, further Taese duties are so plain that any that if the langauge was by the court departure from them by a member med to be abjectionable, he apoli- of the bar would seem to be willful

gived for its use and asked that the and intentional misconduct. In considering the foregoins state- contempt and to maintain dignity in ment it is proper to note that in the their proceedings is inherent and is briefs filed by Respondent upon the as old as courts are old. It is also hearing of the case in the first in provided by statute. By analogy we stance, he used language of similar note the adjudications and penalties import which this court did not take imposed in a few of the many cases,

Lord Cottingham imprisoned Edover zealousness upon the part of mund Lechmere Charlton a barrister counsel, but which was of such a na- and member of the House of Comture that the Attorney General in his mons for sending a scandalous better reply urief referred to a as insinuate to one of the masters of the court ing that the Legislature in enacting and a committee from that body, after and this court in sustaining the law an investigation, reported that in their were being "impelled or controlled by opinion his "claim to be discharged some mythical political influence or from imprisonment by reason of privifear, which exists only in the pyro- legde of perliament ought not to be 2 Milne and Craig, 317. admitted."

When the case of People vs. Tweed the time the objectionable language in New York came up a second time was used, should be taken into consider before the same judge, before the trial eration. The proceeding, in which commenced the prisoner's counsel priyarely handed to the judge a letter. brought to test the constitutionality couched in respectful language, in of a section of an Act of the Legisla- wilch they stated, substantially, that day in smelters and other ore reduc- stances of the former trial, that the no relief whatever tion works, except in cases of emer- judge had conceived a prejudice gency where life or property is in against him, and that his mind was imminant danger. Stat. 1903, p. 32, not in the unbiased condition neces-This Act had passed the Legislature sarv to afford an impartial trial, and the pudge of the court below did no almost unanimously and had receiv- respectfully requested him to consided the Governor's approval. At the er whether he should not relinquish time of filing the petition, respond no the duty of presiding at the trial to was aware that the court had are, some other judge, at the same time viously sustained the validity of the declaring that no personal disrespect enactment as limiting the hours of was intended toward the judge of the labor in underground mines. Re court. The judge retained the letter Boyce, 27 Nev. 327, 75 P. L. 65 L. R. and went on with the trial. At the A. 47, and in mills for the reduction end of the trial e sentenced three of ores, Re Kair 28 Nev. 80 P. 464. of the writers to a fine of \$250 each, tive of the judge of the court below and that similar statutes had been up- and publically reprimanded the oth- This we regard as a grave breach of held by the Supreme Court of Utah ers, the junior counsel, at the time ex- professional propriety. Every person and the Supreme Court of the United pressing the opinion that if such a on his admission to the bar takes an thing had been cone by them in England, they would have been "expelled from the bar within one hour." counsel at the time protested that intended no contempt of court and that they felt and G. & S. M. Co., 61 cal. 117. The court intended to express no disres- said: pect for the judge but that their action had been taken in furtherance of what they deemed ... c vital interests of their client and the faithful and conscientious discharge of the r duty. The judge accepted the disclaimer of personal disrespect, but refused to believe the disclaimer of intention to commit a contempt and enforced the fines. 11 Albany Law Journal 408,

> 26 Am. R. 752. For sending to a district judge out of court a letter stacing that "The ruling you have made is directly contrary to every principal of law, and every body anows ... I believe, and it is our desire that no such decision shall stand unreversed in any court, we practice in." an attorney was fined 350 and suspended from practice until the amount should be paid. In delivering the opinion of the Supreme Court of Kansas in Re Prior, 18 Kan.

26 Am., 747, Brewer J., said: 'Upon this we remark in an first place that the language of this letter is very insulting. To say to a judge that a certain ruing which he has from the court room by the marshau made is contrary to every principle or acting under an order from the bench aw and that everybody certainly a most severe imputation.

considerate and respectful in his conduct and communications to a judge He is an officer of the court, and it is and dignity. The independence of the profession carries with it the right freely to challenge, criticise and condemn all matters and things under review and in evidence. Last with this privilege goes the corresponding obligation of constant courtesy and respect toward the triounal in which the proceedings are pending. And the fact that the tribunal is an inferior one, and its rulings not final and with out appeal, does not diminish in the slightest degree this obligation of courtesy and respect. A justice of the peace before whom the most trifling matter is being litigated is en titled to receive from every attorney in the case corteous and respectfui treatment. A failure to extend this a failure of duty; and it may be so gross a dereliction as to warrant the

It is so that in every case where a judge decides for one party., he de the court, at least one of superior convince by argument, and not to cides against another; and oftimes both parties are before hand equally is not endowed with nower to hear confident and sanguine. The disanmade it with a cesire to mislead, in- which often reaches to the indge as Court in its accision, the statement judge therefore ought to be patient would be the more censurable. So and tolerate everything that appear that toking oft e- view, whether re- but the momentary outbroak of disspondent believed or disbelieved the appointment. A second thought wi' einous charge he made, such lan- generally make a party ashamed c

ependence, may become want to use the laws ontemptuous, angry or insulting ex- of public ressions at every adverse ruling un- officers if it become the court's clear duty minister check the habit by the severe leson of a punishment for contempt, I at to The single insulting expression for rebeating hich the court punishes may there . oners ore seem to these knowing nothing of cree," a he prior conduct of the attorney, and to come looking only at the single remark, a stituting a atter which might well be upnotic- act rney: ed; and yet if all the conduct of the guare so

he very nature of things the nower of a court to punish for contempt is a vast power, and one which, in the be used tyrannically and unjustthe publicity of all judicial proeet ngs, and the appeal which may made to the legislature for proroceedings against any judge who proves himself unworthy of the power ntrusted to him."

Where a contention arose between counsel as to whether a witness had not already answered a certain quesion, and the court after bearing the reporter's notes read, decided that she had answered it, whereupon one of the attorneys sprang to his feet, and, turning to the court, sa.d. in a tone and insuffing manner: She has not answered the question' held that the attorney was guilty of contempt regardless of the question other the decision of e cours was right or wrong." Russell v. Circuit udre 67 lows 102.

In Sears v. Starbard, 75 Cal. 91, Am. St. 123, a brief reflecting upon he trial judge was stricken from the record in the Supreme Court, because it contained the following

"The court, out o. a fullness of his love for a cause, the panies to it or beir counsel, or from an overzealous lesire to adio liente all matters, noin's prouments and things,' could not, with any degree of propriety under the law. paten and doctor up the cause of the plain ffs, whic., perhaps, the cara lempose of their counsel had left in ture limiting labor to eight nours per their client feared, from the circum- such a condition as to entitle them to

In reference to this language it was

said in the opinion: .. not intimation that era is a act from proper motives, but from a have of the parties or their counsel. We see nothing to the record which suggests that such was the case. On the contrary, e action complained of seems to us to have been entirely proper: See Sil v. Reese, 47 Cal. 340 The brief, therefore contains a grounless c arge against the purity of mothat duty. In Friedlander v. Sumner from examining the next witness.

'If unfortunately counsel in any case shall ever so far forget himself as willfully to employ langauge manifestly disrespectful to the judge of the superior court-a thing not to be anticipated-we shall deem it our duty this court, and to proceed accordingly: and the briefs of the case were ordered to be stricken from the flies."

In U. S. v. Late Corporation of Church of Jesus Chaist of Later Day Saints, language used in the petition filed in effect accusing the court of an attempt to shield its receiver and his attorneys from an investigation of charges of gross misconduct in office and containing the statement that We must decline to assume the functions of a grand jury, or attempt to perform the duty of the court in investigating the conduct of its officers, "was held to be contemptuous.

211 P. 5.9. In re Terry, 36 Fed. 419 an extreme case, for charging the court with having been bribed, resisting rec and using aousive language, one of the defendants was sent to jail We remark, secondly, that an attor- thirty days and the other for six ney is under special obligations to be months. Judge .erry, who had not made any accusation against the court sought release and to be purged of the contempt by a sworn ports. therefore his duty to uphold its honor ion in which he alleged that in the transaction he did not have the slightnot avail or relieve him and it was

"The law imputes an intent to accomplish the natural result of ene's acts, and, when those acts are of a criminal nature, it will not accept, against such implication the denial of the transgressor. No one would be safe if a denial or a wrengful or crimiviolator from the punishment due in his offenses."

In an application for a writ of be beas corous growing out of that case Justice Harlan, speaking for the Supreme court of the United States and

"We have seen that it is a settled doctrine in the jurisprudence both of England and of this country, never suposed to be in conflict with the liberty of the citizens, that for direct contempt committed in the face of jurisdiction, the offender may in its discretion, he instantly apprehended and immediately imprisoned, without trial or issue, and without other proof han its actual knowledge of what ocurred; and that according to an unbroken chain of authorices, reaching ack to the earliest times, such power, although arbitrary in its nature and liable to abuse, is absolutely essential to the protection of the ourts in the discharge of their funcions. Without it .udciial tribunals punish for contempt, Blackford, J. in would be at the mercy of the disor- State v. Tinton, 1 Blackf. lub, said: ating on Cedar Hill during the month

e duty of ad- tabit ." 1 U. S. 313. In re

ordempt on the part of the thin can-

tiorney was known, the duty of in- nece sarily effensive, the disavowal of 2d cultion it is said: erference and punis ment might be an intended to commit a conferent "Language may be contemptuous, may tend to occure but cannot justify whether witten or spoken; and if in We remark finally, that while from the act. From a paragraph in that the presence of the court, notice is

opinion we curte: the practice of his profession by the petition for teheoring is equivalent hands of a corrupt or unworthy judge | manner in which he conducts himself | to the commission in open court of an in his intersourse with the courts. He bet constituting a contenent, When y, yet protection to individuals lies may be bonest and capable, and yet the language is capable of explanahe may so c nduct himself as to continually interrupt the business of the must be discontinued; but where it courts in which he practices; or he is offensive and insulting per se, the may by a systematic and continuous disavowal of an intention to commit course of conduct, render it impossi- a contempt may tend to excuse, but ble for the courts to preserve their cannot justify the act. From an open self-respect and the respect of the noto ious and public insult to a court public and at the same time permit him to act as an officer and attorney. refused in any way to atone, he was An attorney who thus studiously and fined for contempt, and his authority systematically attempts to bring the to practice revoked." tribunals of justice into public con- Other authorities in line with these tempt is an unfit person to hold the ve have mentioned are cited in the position and exercise the privileges of hote to re Cary. 10 Fed. 632, and in an officer of those tribunals. An open 9 Cyc. 1. 20, where it is said that notorious and public insuit to the contempt may be committed by inhighest judicial tribunal of the State serting in pleadings, briefs, pections for which an attorney confumationsty arguments, petitions for rehearing or refuses in any way to atone, may just other papers filed in court insulting tify the refusal of that tribunal to or contempuous language, reflecting recognize him in the future as one of on the integrity of the court its officers."

spondent was fined for ironically stat- contempt which no construction of ing to a justice of the peace. "I think line wo do can excuse up purpo. "His this magistrate wiser than the Su- Mediatiner of an in-entional disresprome court." Redfield, C. J., said: | peor to the court may patliate but

here or there."

any alternative left him but the sub-initimidate on improperty influence our mission to what he no doubt regards decision, as a misann chension of the law, both | As we have seen, attorneys have on the part of the justice and of this been severely punished for using lancourt. And in that respect he is in a guage in many instances not so have failed to convince others of the vowal in open court we have concludsoundness of their own views, or to ed not to impose a penalty so harsh became convinced themselves of their as disherment or suspension from

fainev In Mahoney v. State, 72 N. E. 151, an attorney was fined \$50 for saying against the misconduct of attorneys "I want to see whother the court is litigants ought not to be punished or right or not I want to know whether prevented from main aming in the I am going to be heard in this case in the interests of my client or no. and making other insolent statements. In Redman v. State 28 Ind., the judge informed counsel that a question was improper and the attorney replied; If we cannot examine our witnesses ties of an attorney and counceler" he can stand aside." This language ties of an attorney and counceler was deemed offensive and the court Surely such a course as was taken in was deemed offensive and the court prohibited that particular attorney

> In Brown v. Brown IV Ind. 727, the lawyer was taxed with the cost of the action for filing and reading a petition for divorce which was unnecessarily gross and indelicate.

In McCormick v. Sheridan, 20 P. 24. 78. Cal., "A petition for rehearing stated that bow or why the honorable to treat such conduct as a contempt of commission should have so effectually and substantially ignored and disregarded the uncontradicted testimony. we do not know. It seems that netther the transcript nor our briefs could have fallen under the commis-the evidence could not well be made. It is substantialy untrue and unwarranted. The decision seems to us to be a traversity of the evidence. Held out of his petition. that counsel drafting the petition was guilty of contempt committee in the face of the court, notwithstanding a disavowal of disrespectful intention. A fine of \$200 was imposed with an alternative of serving in jail.

The Chief Instice speaking for the court in State v. Morrill, 16 Ark, 310

said:

"If it was the general habit of the disregard the decisions and inagments of the courts, no man of self-respect and just pride of reputa in would re. done main up of the bench, and such only would become the ministers of the sien reached and in the order stated law as were insensible to defamation and contemnt. But happily for the wit: good order of society, men, an especfally the people of this country, are conerally disposed to respect and respondent stand reprimanded and the court. It was held that this could abide the decisions of the tribunals wormed and that he pay the costs of ordained by government as the common arbiters of their rights. But where isolated individuals, in violation of the better instincts of human nature, and disregardful of law and order, wontanly attempt to obstruct de course of public justice by disregarding and exciting disrespect for the decisions of its tribuna 3, every nal intent would suffice to realese the good citizen will point them out as proper subjects for legal animadver-

A court must naturally look first to an enlightened and conservative bar. governed by a high sense of professional ethics and deeply sensible, as they always are, of its necessity to aid in the maintenance of public resnect for its opinions."

In Somers v. Torrey, 5 Paige Ch. 64 28 Am. D. 411, it was held that the attorneyw ho out his hand to scandalous and impertinent matter stood against the complainant and one not a party to the suit is liable to the censure of the court and chargeable with the cost of the proceedings to have it expunged from the record.

In State v. Grailhe, 1 La. Am. 183. the court held that it could not consistently with its duty receive a brief Losses incurred ...... expressed in disrespectful language. and ordered the clerk to take it from the files.

Referring to the rights of courts to received \$2,722.67 from leasers oper-"This great power is entrusted to of February.

e vindication these tribunals of her to the sup- SPECIAL EXC and other the port and preservation of their respecisted from the ear ... t varial to which

NY O. I was held the annals of lacis rulears entend, t p ...tion for and, except in a lew eases of party vione of that Your lence i by him courtlined and a ered on unjust de tablished by the experience of ages," a in ulting matter, is Lord Mayor of London's easo have ien ecurt an act con- sou, 188; epinion c. Kent t. J. in or written is of healt. At page 206 of Weeks on Attorneys.

"An atterney may nefit himself for scandalous and insulting matter in a tion, and is explained, the proceedings for which an attorney contumaciously

By using the objectionable languag In re Cooper, 32 Vt. 262, the re- stated respondent became guilty of a "The coursel must submit in a just cannot justify a charge which under tice court as well as in this court, any explanation cannot be construed and with the same formal respect, otherwise than as reflect ag on the inhowever difficult, it may be either beligence and motives of the court. We do not see that the relator has made for any other purpose unless to

in very similar to many who rebensible, but in view of the dise practice, or fine or imprisonment

Nor do we forget that an prescribing case all petitions, pleadings, and papers essential to the preservation and erforcement of their rights.

ition be stricken from the files, that respondent stand reprimanded and irned, and that he pay the costs of this proceeding.

Taibot, J

I concur Norcross, J.

In this matter my concurrence is special and to tale extent:

The language used by the respondent in his petition for a re-nearing and on which the contempt proceed ing was based was, in my opinion course, should not have been used. The respondent nowever, in response cause why he should not be punished therefor, appeared and disclaimed entemptuous: and moved that if the Court deemed the language contemptuous, the said language he stricken

Respondent not only contended and said that he had no intention to be Co. School Fund. Dist. 1..... 288 95 disrespectful or contemptuous, but he Co. School fund, Dist. 2......151 20 also earnestly contended that the lan- Co. School fund Dist, 3.......30 70 guage charged against him and which he admitted naving used was not disrespectful or contemptuous. In the State School fund, Dist. 1., 2605 00 last contention, I think he was plain- State school fund, Dist 2...160 00 ly in error.

The duty of courts in matters of commutty to denounce, degrade, and this kind is indeed an unpleaser one Therefore, I concer in the conclu-

> in the spinion of Justice Talbot, te-"It is ordered that the offen ire not-

> ition be stricken from the files, that Fitzgereld C 4.

-0.0-

ANNUAL STATEM	IENT	
Of The Continental Casual	ty Compa	ny
Of Hammond Indiana.		
General office, Chicago,	lills.	
Capital (paid up)\$	200,000	:0
Assets	1,708,611	28
Liabilities, exclusive of	capi-	
tal and net surplus		50
Income		
Premiums	2.129.749	€3
Other sources	30,476	
Total income, 1905	2.160,226	36
Expenditures	20010	
Losses	992 904	80
Dividends	16,500	00
Other expenditures	1.113.131	
Total expenditures, 1905	2,123,536	
Business 1905		
Risks written		0
Premiums	2,633,975	re)
Losses incurred		
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	91
Nevada Busine		16.
Risks written	none	
Premium's received	20,025	
Losses paid	8,514	53

The Sierra Nevada mining company

-44

A. A. SMITH, Secretary.

8.634 55

FRANCISCO ... Ur MEX CO AND RETURN. DECEMBER 18th.

A select party is being organized Ly he Southern Pacific to leave San Francisco for Mexico City, December 16th, 1905. Train will contain fine Yarm 1 tohus, 217- tohus vestibule sleepers and dining car, all hat where the lan- son v. the C mmonwealth 1 8555 598, the way on going trip. Time limit will be sixty days, enabling excursionists to make side trips from City of Mexico to points of interest. On return trip, stopevers will be allowed at not essential before punishment, and points on the main lines of Mexican Central, Santa Fe or Southern Pacitic. An excursion manager will be in charge and make all arrangements.

> Round trip rate from San Francisco Pullman berth rate to City of Mex-

> ico, \$12.00. For further information address information Bureau, 613 Market street. San Francisco Cal.

> > --- EV9-Liberal Offer.

I beg to advise my patrons that the price of disc records (either Victor or Columbia), to take effect immediately, will be as follows until further notice:

Ten inch disks formerly 70 ceats will be sold for 60 cents.

Seven inch records formerly 50c, now 35c. Take advantage of this of-C. W. FRIEND. ----

Notice to Hurletis.

Notice is hereby given that any erson found hunting without a permit on the premises owned by Theodore viators, will be prosecuted. A it ated number of permits vill be sold and which could searcely have been at \$5 for the season or 50 cents for one day.

OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR

To the Honorable, the Board of Comty Commissioners, Gentlemen: In compliance with the law. T herawith submit my quarterly report showing receipts and disburse ments of Ormally County, during

the quarter enums Dec. 30, 1905. Quarterly Report.

Ormsby County, Nevada. Receipts. Filed Feb. 1, 1906. Balane in County Treasury at end of last quarter....\$40023 36%

Fee of Co. officers...........531 46 Rent of county bldg ......250 00 1st. Instalment taxes.....14924 2150 Special school tax......1710 90 4 Slot machine license......282 00 Cigarette license ...........42 36 Semi-Annual Set. State Treas 531 78 Delinquent taxes......23 80% 

Disbursements.

General fund......2732 39 Agl Assn. Bond Fund, Series A, \$100.00 ......250 00 Agl. Assn. Bond Fund, Series

B \$100,00 ......400 00 Co School Fund Dist. 4.....24 00

State School fund, dist.3 ... 120 00 State School fund, Dist 4 ... 165 00

21,968 59% Re pitulation. Cash in Treasury October 1905 Receipts from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 1905 ......21054 00% Disbursements from Oct. 1st

to Dec 30, 1905 ......21968 59% Balonce cash in County Treas. January 1, 1906......29108 7753 H. DIETERICH,

County Auditor, Recapitulation 

Co. Schood Dist. 1, fund. 7638 2212 Co. School Dist. 2, fund . . . . 139 64 Co. School Dist, 3, fund..... 190 2614 Co. School Dist. 3, fund.....425 a5 State School Dist. 1, fund...1608 06 State School Dist. 2, fund.....77 51 State School Dist. 3, fund...371 39

State School Dist. 3, fund...371 35 State School Dist 4, fund..... 19 27 Agl. Assn. Fund A......... 680 824

Agl. Assn Fund, B............ 86 863 Agl. Assn Fund Special...1918 94 Co. School Dist. fund - special ......13735 90% Co. School Dist. fund 1, library

Co School Dist. fund 3, library ....... 54 Co. School Dist fund 4, library

...... 6 1n Total Sennty Treasure

3"188 775 H. B. VAN ETTEN